## NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

# IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

## **DIVISION EIGHT**

THE PEOPLE,

B215882

Plaintiff and Respondent,

(Los Angeles County Super. Ct. No. GA074891)

v.

ERIN E. GONZALEZ,

Defendant and Appellant.

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County. Dorothy L. Shubin, Judge. Affirmed.

Richard L. Fitzer, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

Appellant Erin E. Gonzalez was charged with second degree commercial burglary (count 1; Pen. Code, § 459),<sup>1</sup> identity theft (count 2; § 530.5, subd. (a)), and grand theft (count 5; § 484e, subd. (d)). The information included charges against two codefendants. The evidence at the preliminary hearing showed that appellant and the codefendants used a stranger's closed credit card account to pay for three nights at a hotel and stole property from a hotel employee while there. Appellant made unsuccessful motions to suppress evidence (a) at the preliminary hearing, (b) in a section 995 motion, and (c) at a subsequent section 1538.5 hearing in the superior court. Appellant pled no contest to count 2. Imposition of sentence was suspended, and she was placed on formal probation for three years.

Appellant filed a timely notice of appeal. Her appointed counsel filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*), raising no issues. Appellant was notified that she could file her own brief and did not do so.

From our review of the record, we are satisfied that appellant's counsel has fully complied with his responsibilities, and no arguable issues exist. (*Smith v. Robbins* (2000) 528 U.S. 259, 276; *People v. Kelly* (2006) 40 Cal.4th 106, 123-124; *Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

### DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

FLIER, J.

We concur:

BIGELOW, P. J.

RUBIN, J.

<sup>1</sup> All statutory references are to the Penal Code.